Electrosensory Midbrain Neurons Display Feature Invariant Responses to Natural Communication Stimuli

By: Aumentado-Armstrong et al. - 2015

Presented by: Katie, Marcelo, and Maria

What is coding

 Information is coded in the brain through a series of processes by changing the incoming information into another form or representation



Trappenberg, 2010

From Dense to Sparse

 Recall Hubel and Wiesel model for orientation selectivity in early visual processing



Apteronotus leptorhynchus

- Weakly electric fish
- Emit quasi-sinusoidal electric field
- Respond to changes of EOD by changing the firing rate

- Electrosensory lateral line lobe (ELL)
 - Pyramidal neurons
 - Hindbrain
- Torus semicircularis (TS)
 - Midbrain
 - Similar to Inferior Colliculus



Beats and Chirps

Beat - when two fish come into contact it creates a change in sinusoidal amplitude

Chirp - occur on top of a beat and give rise to very different waveform, a form of communication between fish

Sexual dimorphism gave rise to different EOD frequencies



Hypothesis

- Midbrain electrosensory neurons can respond selectively and in an invariant manner to different stimuli
 - This response invariance is the result of non-linear integration from hindbrain electrosensory input

Quantifying heterogeneities in electrocommunication stimuli





- (C) Larger differences in small chirp associated waveforms than in big chirp
- (D) Quantified differences between small and big chirp waveforms using similarity index

Beat Period

Response of TS neurons to small and big chirps

What did they do?

 Quantified response of neurons using chirp selectivity index (CSI), Victor-Purpura distance metric (VPD) and feature invariance index (FI) What did they find?

- Some (n=9) TS neurons responded selectively to both small and big chirp waveforms
- CSI = 1
- VPD = 1.19
- FI = 0.99
- Thus, TS neurons display invariant responses to electrocommunication stimuli

Responses of ELL pyramidal neurons to chirps

What did they do?

- Recorded ELL pyramidal neuron responses to same stimuli presented to TS neuron
- Quantified the responses of ON and OFF-type ELL cells to chirps using CSI, VPD, and FI



TS Neuron Model

$$\begin{split} C \cdot \frac{dV}{dt} &= -g_{K} n^{4} \cdot (V - E_{K}) - g_{Na} m^{3} h \cdot (V - E_{Na}) - g_{L} \cdot (V - E_{L}) + I(t) \\ \frac{dV}{dt} &= \frac{1}{C} \left(I_{Na} + I_{KDR} + I_{h} + I_{T} + I_{leak} + I_{syn} + I_{bias} + \sigma_{noise} \, \xi(t) \right) \\ I_{Na} &= -g_{Na} \, m_{\infty}^{3}(V) (0.85 - n) (V - E_{Na}) \\ I_{KDR} &= -g_{k} \, n^{4} \, (V - E_{K}) \\ I_{h} &= -g_{h} \, h(V - E_{h}) \\ I_{T} &= -g_{T} \, s_{\infty}^{3}(V) \eta \, (V - E_{Ca}) \\ I_{leak} &= -g_{leak} (V - E_{leak}) \end{split}$$

Modeling TS neuron responses



Feature Invariant Responses in Model



Parameters	Model 1 (0.90)	Model 2 (0.79)	Model 3 (0.91)	Model 4 (0.77)	Model 5 (0.89)
σ _B	0.42	0.41	0.35	0.40	0.42
I _{bias}	-9.4	-6.6	-18.1	-5.2	-6.5
9 _{syn}	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.10	0.09
9 _h	0.24	0	0.48	0.02	0
g⊤	2.10	0	3.99	0	5.6

Effect of Varying Model Parameters



Increasing the Set of Invariance Parameters





Verifying the Model



Wanted to test:

- 1) Whether a spiking nonlinearity was enough to produce feature invariance
- If maximum feature invariance is obtained when the model neuron receives both ON and OFF cell inputs

Summary of Results

- Hindbrain responds with heterogeneities, but found some TS (midbrain) neurons displayed feature invariant responses
- Subthreshold membrane conductances enhanced the robustness of the feature invariant response
- Verified model predictions through experiments
 - TS neurons responded to membrane depolarizations during the rising and falling phase - suggesting that they do receive input from both ON- and OFFtype ELL pyramidal neurons

Significance

- Cited 16 times
- First experimental evidence
- As mentioned in the paper, could be useful in Al
- Understanding invariance may also help in understanding brain disorders

Strengths

- In-depth list of future directions
 - Determine what subset of TS neurons respond with feature invariance
 - Potential use in AI

Limitations

- Did not compare subsets of TS neurons (9/137 had feature invariance)
 - Would have liked to see a comparison to show this



References

Aumentado-armstrong, T., Metzen, M. G., & Sproule, M. K. J. (2015). Electrosensory Midbrain Neurons Display Feature Invariant Responses to Natural Communication Stimuli. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *11*(10), 1–28. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004430

Blohm, G. (2018). NSCI401: Introduction to Modelling in Neuroscience, week 3 notes [Powerpoint slides].

Blohm, G. (2018). NSCI401: Introduction to Modelling in Neuroscience, week 4 notes [Powerpoint slides].

Dunlap, K., DiBenedictis, B., & Banever, S. (2010). Chirping response of weakly electric knife fish (Apteronotus leptorhynchus) to low-frequency electric signlas and to heterospecific electric fish. *J Exp Biol*, 213(13):2234-2242.